IHS & COCOPAH INDIAN TRIBE

PH24-W49 WA08-25 PRE-BID MEETING September 22, 2025

Purpose of the Meeting:

Pre-submittal meeting to address questions from all potential Engineering Firms planning to submit proposal for the East Cocopah Iron and Manganese Water Treatment Plant Pilot Study and Design Project.

Attendees:

Cocopah Public Works

- Rudy Alcala- Public Works Director (In-Person Attendance)
- Fernanda Garcia- Assistant Tribal Administrator (In-Person Attendance)

Indian Health Services

- Darren Ausdemore- District Engineer (In-Person Attendance)
- Joel Garcia- Field Engineer (In-Person Attendance)
- Osvaldo Parra Huergo- Field Engineer (In-Person Attendance)
- Kristin Boyle- Field Engineer (In-Person Attendance)

o A/E Firms

- Ryan O'Malia, Emili Palmisana- Valentine Engineering (In-Person Attendance)
- Brian Hamrick, Greg Bahe- NCS Engineers (In-Person Attendance)
- Gary Whetten- Stanley Consultants (In-Person Attendance)
- Erin Lansey- Kimley Horn (In-Person Attendance)
- Adrian Navarro, R. Burns- Michael Baker International (In-Person Attendance)
- Bryan Brunson, Vineet Awasthi, Andrew Nishiharae- Stantec (In-Person Attendance)
- Fiona Jordan, Paul Wright- WestLand Resources (*In-Person Attendance*)

Questions:

1. So, with this project including a study phase, the pilot study is condensed to a month, how firm is the schedule?

The current schedule is tentative, this can be discussed and reviewed, currently we would like it to be in the time frame of one month.

2. Where is the \$5M budget based from?

The budget is based on the funding that comes from EPA, this includes Design and Construction. See Addendum 1 for the breakdown that is included in the Preliminary Engineering Report (PER).

3. Can we get a copy of the PER?

Yes, this will be released. Please see Addendum 1.

4. What is driving the replacement?

Age of system and filter issues, the filters were installed in 1987.

5. Do you have drawings?

Yes, we have as-builts and will share with the group. Please see Addendum 1 for the PER that contains the as-builts.

6. Is the plan to demo the existing components, are there components that are salvable?

The non-salvable existing components can be demolished. The building will be used as storage, but everything inside may be demolished. Please see Addendum 1 for the PER.

7. What about the enclosed structure?

It is dependent on design/budget, utilizing the existing or creating a new structure. The design will not require the treatment vessels to be housed inside a building. The vessels must be protected from the sun, however.

8. Is the existing MIOX system working?

We have a lot of issues with the current system, and are spending a high amount on salt. MIOX system is a challenge, we would rather stick with a hypochlorite system.

9. Is there a page limit to the RFP?

No page limits.

10. Are there any floodplain concerns?

See Addendum 1 for the PER.

11. Is there any information as to the water quality of the wells?

See Addendum 1 for the PER and Well No.4 Installation Report.